1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAL COUNTY ARIZUNA
3	2012 FEB 15 AM 8: 57 SANDRA K HANKBAH, CLERK
4	STATE OF ARIZONA,) BY:
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs.) Case No. V1300CR201080049
7	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,) Court of Appeals
8) Case No. 1 CA-CR 11-0895 Defendant.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WARREN R. DARROW
16	TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE
17	FEBRUARY 10, 2010
18	Camp Verde, Arizona
19	
20	
21	
22	ORIGINAL
23	
24	REPORTED BY MINA G. HUNT
25	AZ CR NO. 50619 CA CSR NO. 8335

3 edings had before the Honorable 2 WARREN R. DARROW, Judge, taken on Wednesday, February 10, 2010, at Yavapai County Superior Court, Division Pro Tem B, 2840 North Commonwealth 5 Drive, Camp Verde, Arizona, before Mina G. Hunt, 6 Certified Reporter within and for the State of 7 Arizona. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI STATE OF ARIZONA, Plaintiff, Case No V1300CR201080049 Court of Appeals Case No. 1 CA-CR 11-0895 JAMES ARTHUR RAY, Defendant 8 10 11 12 13 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE WARREN R DARROW TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE 16 FEBRUARY 10, 2010 17 Camp Verde, Arizona 19 20 21 2.3 REPORTED BY MINA G. HUNT AZ CR NO 50619 CA CSR NO 8335 24 25 Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

PROCEEDINGS

24

25

1

2

```
2
    For the Plaintiff:
 3
       YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
       BY. SHEILA SULLIVAN POLK, ATTORNEY
      BY:
          BILL R HUGHES, ATTORNEY
      255 East Gurley
      Prescott, Arizona 86301-3868
      (Appearing by telephone.)
 6
    For the Defendant:
 8
      THOMAS K. KELLY, PC
      BY: THOMAS K. KELLY, ATTORNEY
 9
      425 East Gurley
      Prescott, Arizona 86301-0001
10
      (Appearing by telephone )
11
      MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON, LLP
      BY: LUIS LI, ATTORNEY
12
          TRUC DO, ATTORNEY
      355 South Grand Avenue
```

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

Thirty-fifth Floor

```
2
         THE COURT: I'm here in the courtroom using
 3
    our e-system here. If I could please ask for the
    appearances.
 5
               We are in the case of State of Arizona
 6
    versus James Arthur Ray, V1300CR201080049.
 7
              Again, please, the appearances.
 8
         MR. KELLY: Tom Kelly, on behalf of James Ray,
 9
    Judge.
10
         THE COURT: Okay.
11
         MR. LI: Luis Li and Truc Do from Munger,
    Tolles & Olson, and requesting to appear
13
    specifically to Rule 38(a)(3) on behalf of Mr. Ray
14
    as well.
15
         THE COURT. I'm sorry. Mr. Li, who is with
16
    you?
17
         MR. LI' Of counsel, Truc Do, in our office,
18
    as well.
19
         MS. DO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
20
         MR. LI: And the spelling is T-r-u-c.
21
         THE COURT: I have it on the pleading right
22
    here.
23
         MS. POLK: This is Sheila Polk, on behalf of
```

the state. I also have with me deputy county

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

attorneys Bill Hughes and Steven Sisneros.

Los Angeles, California 90071-1560

(Appearing by telephone.)

24

13

14

15

16

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

THE COURT: I'm going to ask defense counsel, 1 2 Mr. Kelly, or Mr. Li, if you have the application actually filed. I don't have the rule at the tip 3 of my tongue here. I believe if the application is actually filed for pro hac vice, you can start on 5 the case. Is that correct? I haven't looked at 7 that in some time.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. KELLY: This is Tom Kelly. I did review the rule. And I believe once the application is pending, which I can avow to the Court that my office delivered the application last Friday. We had a personal communication with the state bar today. They believe the formal application pro hac vice will be approved by Tuesday or Wednesday of next week.

And Rule 38 appears, in my opinion, Judge, to allow you to enter a temporary order allowing Mr. Li and Ms. Do to appear between now and then.

THE COURT: Does anyone on behalf of the state, Ms. Polk, have any problem with Mr. Li participating?

23 MS. POLK: No, Your Honor. I believe what 24 Mr. Kelly stated is correct, that this court can 25 grant leave.

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

THE COURT: Okay.

And I do that.

I just wanted to discuss scheduling. I have a jury trial in progress. I am trying to set these hearings, do what I can to handle some matters where expedited hearings have been requested.

This trial, just working our way through it, I do have two hours currently set for Friday. And what I was going to address at that time was going to be the gag-order issue, if we can call it that, or at least begin to address that.

I've gotten quite a bit more reading material here I see this afternoon. And I do know, though, that the state has -- I'm sorry. The defense has requested that there be a hearing Friday also to consider release conditions.

18 There is considerable amount of 19 information that's been submitted with regard to 20 that. Some of it, I believe, again, under being -it's under seal. That's the request. And it will 21 22 be under seal until ordered otherwise.

23 I don't know if there really can be much 24 else handled on Friday other than the -- at least 25 starting on the First Amendment/Sixth Amendment

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

gag-order saue. But there was discussion at the 1

initial appearance with Mr. Kelly, Mr. Li and

Mr. Young there on behalf of the state. There was

discussion about having a modification-of-release

hearing on this Friday. Nothing happened right 5 6

away.

7 I had an informal conference with

8 Mr. Kelly and Mr. Young. And at that time it was

9 my impression anyway that there was going to be

10 some additional information provided. And I did

file a notice just to memorialize what we discussed 11

12 in that informal scheduling conference.

13 And I, essentially, abandoned the 14 accelerate briefing schedule at that point and did 15 not have the release matter on the hearing. I'm sorry. On Friday. I've been assigned the case 16 since yesterday afternoon, of course, in terms of 17 covering all aspects of it. 18

19 So what -- I just want to hear in terms 20 of scheduling. I think I have two hours on Friday.

21 And I want to hear from all of you on what you want

to try to do with that time.

23 MR. LI: Your Honor, Luis Li, on behalf of 24 Mr. Ray --

25 THE COURT: Let me ask too if somebody on the

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

defense, are you waiving Mr. Ray's presence for the

2 purpose of this scheduling conference?

3 MR. LI: We are, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Li, please proceed.

5 MR. LI: We appreciate very much the Court's

6 scheduling this conference because we think there

7 is some fairly important issues at stake here.

8 Mr. Ray, as everyone is aware, is currently in

9 custody. And it's our position these are

10 probation-eligible offenses and that we've

11 demonstrated amply both to the state, and now we

12 made some filings to the Court, that he's not a

13 flight risk.

14 And we ask the Court to reschedule the

15 modification-of-bail hearing for Friday as

16 originally intended. There is a couple of reasons

17 for this. The first thing is that the state has

18 had four months to investigate Mr. Ray. And

19 throughout that process we, on behalf of Mr. Ray,

20 have engaged the state. We've provided witnesses

21 to the state. We've met with the state on at least

22 five different occasions. I personally have asked

23 detectives, I've asked Mr. Hughes and others, if

there is -- if there are any questions that they would like us to answer in term of Mr. Ray's

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

24

10

finances or any other issues to assure the state
Mr. Ray is not a flight risk.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Among other things, the state also has for the last four months Mr. Ray's computers -- all of them, the business computers, his personal computers, I think some of his staff members' computers.

And, you know, to the extent that the state wanted to conduct a financial review of Mr. Ray and what he's doing with his money and whether he's got offshore accounts and the like, all of that material has been available to the state for the last four months.

And we have never had a clear picture from the state exactly what fact constitutes the reason why there should be a \$5 million bond. And we've never had an open discussion with the state as to why they believe its financial circumstances indicate that he can be a flight risk.

And we've, essentially, been asked for
the last few weeks. I guess time is collapsing.
Probably the last week or so to prove the negative.
We've been asked to prove that he -- we've been
asked to prove that he doesn't have offshore bank
accounts or we've been asked to account for every
Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

dollar that he spent in the last few years.

And that is -- what we've filed in front of the Court today is, essentially, an accounting as best we can do without his computers, without all of his -- without his help, frankly, an accounting of every dollar that we can find that he either spent or generated in the last three or four years.

And under normal bail circumstances, you wouldn't have to do this. But we feel that because we've, essentially, tried to open our books to the state and, frankly, now to the Court as well, we felt it was necessary to put all this information in front of the Court because -- you know -- he's being held on an unobtainable bond for probation-eligible offenses.

And for that reason we are asking the Court to reset the bail hearing for Friday.

MR. HUGHES: This is Bill Hughes. If I can respond to a couple issues.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: One regarding the issue of whether the release hearing should be set for Friday of this week. The state has requested certain financial information from the defense.

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

And I knower. Li is indicating that they'rewilling to make this available to the state.

But in a meeting I had with Mr. Li back
on the morning prior to the initial, we asked for
copies of a number of financial records that Mr. Li

6 had. And at that time he declined. I think he

7 wanted a protective order in place. And I don't

8 know if they're attached to this two- or three-inch

9 thick filing that was provided earlier today or

10 not.

17

But we also ask for releases so we can
look in Mr. Ray's bank accounts going back to prior
to the records that Mr. Li showed us. I think he
showed us records starting in October. And we
wanted to see what Mr. Ray's financial picture was,
which I think is germane on the amount of the bond.

And as far as I know, at this point

Mr. Li is not willing to provide us with that
information. And we do need that information
before we can make a determination. And I think
the Court should want that information before it

22 can make the determination of the bond amount.23 Secondly, with respect to the computer,

Mr. Li may -- may have slipped his mind. But hewill, I'm sure, recall that when we seized the

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

1 computers pursuant to search warrant, he provided

2 us with a letter objecting to our search of the

3 computers, indicating that the computers contained

4 attorney-client privileged communication.

We've asked him to identify the recordson the computers which were attorney-client

7 communications, and that's never happened. And we8 tried to come up with a way to search these very

9 voluminous computer hard drives. They're in the

10 terabyte level, which is many times the size

11 apparently of the Library of Congress of data.

12 And that's the reason that months have13 gone by. We can't get a way to even begin

14 searching these computers until the issue of

attorney-client privileged documents that thedefense claims are on the computer but aren't

17 willing to identify to us can be overcome.

18 And pursuant to an agreement that we have

with Mr. Li and his office, we agreed that we

wouldn't begin searching the computers until we

21 could either reach an agreement or until we give

the defense advanced notice that we were going togo ahead and do it unilaterally.

So, Your Honor, we haven't sat back forfour months and done nothing with the computers.

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

We've had substantial road blocks put in place. 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

Ultimately with respect to the issue of the bond and the bail issues, we need to know the conditions of Mr. Ray's finances. Obviously if Mr. Ray is broke, as I think his attorneys are arguing, it's a different situation than if, as he claims on his website, he made \$10 million last vear.

And that's why we've requested and at this point have not received a records release so we can go into the bank accounts that the defense has claimed are Mr. Ray's and go back and try and do some sort of at least a cursory forensic review of those accounts and see if he has sizeable assets hidden overseas or in some other account. He does indicate that he's an international corporation.

MR. LI: Your Honor, if I may be heard? THE COURT: Mr. Li.

19 MR. LI: It seems like there are two points 20 that the state is making. One is that they've not 21 been able to review Mr. Ray's financial records but 22 for the fact that we haven't given them a release.

I was a prosecutor for 10 years, and I did financial crimes. And when I wanted to find out about a potential defendant, financial

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

circumstances, I had the grand jury or other mechanisms, issued subpoenas, and simply got the records and looked at them ourselves.

Secondly, with respect to the computer, it is true that we believe there is attorney-client privileged material on the computer. That said, Mr. Hughes neglects to mention that on, I believe, November 3, 2009, I sent to Mr. Hughes a search protocol that we would do on the state's behalf at Mr. Ray's expense to do a privilege review.

12 declaration, the second Li declaration. And we, essentially, said, Mr. Hughes, here's mby best stab at -- you know -- half a dozen search terms. Here's my best stab at the custodians we should look at and the time frame. And -- you know --Mr. Hughes, if you have any other suggestions, we'll be happy to implement them for you. We think our insurance can do this. And we'll do it for you. Just let us know how you want us to proceed.

And it's attached as Exhibit A to the Li

19 20 21 And I think if the Court takes a look at 22 Exhibit A to my declaration, you will see the 23 entire spirit with which we've been proceeding in 24 this process. We have been, essentially, asking is 25 there anything we can do to provide information to Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

1 you?

16

18

19

7

9

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I would ask the Court to look at this 2 3 email. We sent it to Mr. Hughes. He sent back an 4 email saying, essentially, that they would consider 5 it. And then they never followed up.

6 And we never -- I believe -- I couldn't find an email in which he actually -- in which the 7 8 state actually rejected the approach. But it may have been in a phone call subsequently in which I 10 was informed the state was rejecting our approach.

11 And so we're not throwing road blocks. Quite the contrary. We've offered a way around 12 this issue. I think it would be incorrect for the 13 14 state to allege that we've been anything but helpful on that particular issue. 15

And, again, with respect to the financial 17 records, the state has well within its power the ability to subpoena those records and probably should have four months ago.

20 MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, I know we don't want to spend all afternoon. If I can focus on the 21 22 financial records issue. Certainly in Arizona -- I 23 don't know about in California, but in Arizona our 24 grand jury power is limited to gathering evidence 25 of a crime.

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

16

1 Mr. Ray has not been investigated for financial crimes. And we can't use the grand jury 3 to go out and gather information other than what 4 the law allows us to gather. We don't have the ability to use a grand jury to gather financial 6 records when we don't have a financial crime.

And certainly at this point once charges 8 are filed, case law is pretty clear. We can't continue to use the grand jury as an investigative 10 tool in the case. Even if the grand jury had the ability to issue subpoenas into somebody's 12 financial records and those records are not relevant to the crime that the Grand Jury is investigating.

So ultimately what we need is the records 16 released or we'd ask the Court, and we may have to ask this in our response -- our written response to the motion for release conditions, for court order to allow us to gain the information that's relevant to the argument that the defense is raising, that he simply cannot afford this bond.

MR. LI: Your Honor, just a couple of things. And I too want to be respectful of the Court's time given the trial schedule. What we have supplied to the Court and to the state are financial records

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

13

23

24

11

17

18

ose financial and declarations accompanying 1 records that set forth exactly how much money he's 2 3 earned over the last however many years, eight years, what the Ps and Ls, net cost of all of his businesses have been, what his salary has been, his 6 tax returns and other documents relating to 7 Mr. Ray's financial condition.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think one other thing that bears noting, though, Your Honor, is that Mr. Ray accompanied by me flew out the night before the indictment just because the state might -- on my judgment that the state might indict Mr. Ray. And we wanted to present him to the sheriff and to the county attorney so that he could surrender himself if the state were going to bring an indictment.

We did not in fact -- no one told us an indictment was pending. No one told us that there were grand jury proceedings that had resulted in an indictment.

And the morning of the day of his arrest, I myself went to both the county attorney's office and then to the sheriff's office to tell them that Mr. Ray was sitting in Tom Kelly's office waiting whatever may come. And that has been our approach throughout this case.

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

18

1 Moreover, I also presented certain 2 financial information to the detectives, and then 3 later I presented that same financial information 4 to Mr. Hughes and then asked and have been asking for the last several months, is there any question 5 you need me to answer to ensure the state, to make 7 the state comfortable, that Mr. Ray is not a flight 8 risk? 9

It is only after his arrest and after the detention and after the \$5 million bond that Mr. Hughes is now saying that what we need is we need to have financial records so we can -- and you know -- somehow disprove the idea that there might be some secret bank account somewhere.

THE COURT: Okay. What I'm going to do on the release matter is I'm going -- that motion was filed in my office, essentially, on last Thursday. So I'm going to start the regular briefing time on the response and any reply as a regular motion.

You can work with my JA, and I will get a hearing set as soon as possible. Of course, have to be -- has to be compliance with victims' rights laws. People are aware of that. And that's the way I'm going proceed with that. I'm not going to hear the modification issue this Friday.

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

HES: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 2 Just as a matter of housekeeping, we 3 received a number of pleadings in delivery to us 4 today. It indicated there is also a third declaration of Luis Li, ex parte and in-camera 5 6 review. We didn't get a copy of that.

7 I was hoping, Luis, if you could fax or email that to me so I can have that for our file. 8

9 MR. LI: Your Honor, the purpose behind 10 that --

And, Bill, I'll tell you what's in there, but I'd rather not share the actual data. It 12 relates to attorneys' fees. And part of this was 14 In an effort to account for the way that money was 15 spent. And we felt that that was confidential and that -- and we felt it's more appropriate to 16 17 provide that to the Court in camera.

18 And I hope you don't have an objection to 19 that. And I will represent to you that it is 20 solely related to attorneys' fees, who he's paid previous to us, how much he's paid us to date, 21 22 et cetera.

And the main reason, again, was so we could account for where his income has gone. And 25 the Court obviously will be able to review it. And

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

20

If I play you false, I'm sure that the Court would 2 not allow that.

3 MR. HUGHES: We certainly don't have any 4 opposition to it being under seal. But to the 5 extent it's an ex parte communication, we would 6 object to that, I think, if it's germane to the 7 release issue -- we don't have a problem with it 8 being under seal and keeping it, basically, out of the public eye, but we would request that we 10 receive a copy.

MR. LI: Your Honor, we would ask that it 12 remain in camera and that the Court be able to 13 review it. It is, essentially, exactly as I 14 indicated. I don't think there is a purpose behind 15 the state seeing how much Mr. Ray has paid lawyers 16 prior to us and including how much he's paid to us today. I don't think that's appropriate for the state to have.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll have to look at that. 19 20 And we'll address it again, I'm sure. I will look at it first in camera. I'm aware of the ex parte 21 22 implication of it, the implication of making a 23 decision on information that's not shared by all interested persons or properly interested persons. But it will stay in camera and under seal at this

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

1 point anyway.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

MR. LI: Thank you, Your Honor. And to the extent the Court is intending at some point not to keep it in camera, we would at least ask the opportunity to review whether we want -- whether we'd rather have it disclosed to the state or whether we'd rather just withdraw it.

THE COURT: That's how I would proceed. I wouldn't do anything without notice and an opportunity to register your position again.

MR. LI: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're welcome.

There is a couple of things. Well, I wanted to hear what anybody else has to say in terms of this Friday. Let's deal with one thing at a time. Anything else about that? I'm not going to address the release at that point.

I wanted to put a couple things on the record. First, with regard to some requests for redaction, I don't know how much information people are getting or how quickly. But I did confirm the order that that attachment to the original motion or declaration not be released. Had the whole thing sealed at this time. The clerk had a question about separating the documents. And at Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

22

25

7

15

this point it's just all under seal. 1

Also a request regarding redaction of other information. And I did order redaction of Social Security number.

Did everyone see that?

MR. LI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Polk or Mr. Hughes, did you?

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Your Honor. This is

9 Mr. Hughes. We did see that the Court ruled. We

10 would request that that ruling be without prejudice

just on the fine point of if there is a judgment 11

12 and sentencing at some point in time or a plea

13 agreement at some point in time, it's custom and

14 the practice of the county attorney to include a

15 Social Security number on any plea agreement. And

16 I believe it's the custom of the Court to include

17 that in the sentencing document.

18 So we would ask that the Court ruling be 19 without prejudice to that issue simply because the 20 Court and the state has an interest in being able 21 to identify people specifically in case there is 22 ever future cases.

23 In other words, I think it would be 24 inappropriate to make a special example in this

25 case and leave those information off pursuant to a

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

court order nen in every other case in the county that information is eventually included on the file 3 for purposes of identification.

And the way the motion was written, it 4 5 seemed to include all documents that could possibly be in the Court file now or in the future. And, 7 again, that response time hasn't run. And I don't think it was the Court's intention to deal with the 8 9 issue of future documents.

10 But we would ask that the Court make it 11 clear that that ruling was without prejudice that **12** the future documents that might eventually come 13 down the pike.

14 THE COURT: I'm going to hear from people before anything becomes permanent. I'm just 15 16 dealing with things as they come in, trying to 17 maintain the status quo. That's somewhat parallel to a preliminary injunction. If there is harm 18 19 happening, you have to act. And then things can be 20 heard by everyone.

21 But no. I'll hear from what people have 22 to say. If there is a reason, appropriate legal 23 reason, to release Social Security number, I'll 24 hear about that.

In the future I'm going to request that Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

24

everybody do what's happening now, which is make

sure there are requests that matters be sealed so

there is no question about that rather than

handling things orally at a hearing or some type of

5 appearance. So I appreciate if you continue to do

6 what's happening now.

And also with the redacted records, I had 8 the clerk make a copy of the unredacted records. 9 All those are being kept under seal. In the future 10 I'd rather have nothing but a redacted copy in the

manner that psychiatric evaluations are released 11

12 every day after being redacted. I want to work

with the copies and keep the originals not in any 13

14 way changed or altered.

But at this point there are complete 16 copies of everything that will be kept under seal. And -- yeah. That's not any kind of a permanent

17 18 order. But if anything else comes in with a Social

19 Security number on it, it's not to be released at

20 this point.

21 MS. POLK: This is Sheila Polk. Are you able 22 to tell us what records are in the file that have 23 redactions on them now?

THE COURT: Yes. It's in the ruling. It's 24 actually in my ruling. I named them. Let's see if Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

```
25
 1
     I have the updated file. It was the
                                         warrant dated
 2
     February 3, certificate of service dated
     February 4, transmittal certificate filed and its
 4
     attachments. That's filed February 4. And those
 5
     were the documents.
 6
          MS. POLK: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
          THE COURT: Does anyone have any other comment
 8
     about just the procedure for that? It's unusual.
 9
     But personally I just want to make sure there is a
10
     complete record of anything that's changed. And,
11
     as I, say in the future I don't want to have any
     original that's changed, redacted, in any fashion.
12
13
               The last thing I was going to bring up is
14
     the court administration office is getting a lot of
15
     calls all the time about what's scheduled and
16
     what's happening. And I did tell Shelly Bacon I
17
     would let her know if there was going to be
18
     anything added to the hearing that I've already
19
     noticed, at least to begin discussions, as I've
20
     said, with regard to the gag order. And I did want
21
     to keep her apprised by email of what's said.
22
               Does anyone have a problem with that?
23
          MS. POLK: We do not, Your Honor.
24
          MR. LI: No. Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Does anyone else want to say

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

MR. KELLY: Judge, I have a procedural

anything before we go off the record?

```
3 and 12.10 shall be deemed the date
 1
     pursuant to
     of arraignment. And I think that that would be the
 2
 3
     appropriate date.
 4
          MR. KELLY: And I'm looking at that rule now,
 5
     Judge. So would that be the date we had the
 6
     initial? Because Mr. Ray was present in person in
 7
     court and received notice.
          MR. HUGHES: I think it would be the date the
 R
 9
     12.10 notice was filed, which, I think, was
10
     concurrent or within the same day or two as the
11
     date that the minute entry setting the case
12
     management conference went out.
13
          THE COURT: That's what I was looking at.
14
               Okay. Then, if there is nothing else.
15
     Thanks to all of you for being able to get together
16
     here on the short notice. Appreciate it. And
17
     we'll hang up.
18
          MR. LI: Thank you, Judge.
19
          MR. KELLY: Thank you, Judge.
20
          MS. POLK: Thank you, Judge.
21
          MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Judge.
22
               (The proceedings concluded.)
23
24
25
```

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

26

```
3
     question. This is Tom Kelly. I filed a pleading
 4
     waiving -- waiving Mr. Ray's presence at an
     arraignment vacating the EDC, which was set for
 5
 6
     tomorrow morning at 8:00 o'clock.
 7
          THE COURT: Right.
 8
          MR. KELLY: And requesting that the matter be
 9
     assigned a cause number and to a particular
10
     Superior Court division.
11
                Judge Brutinel acted on the request. And
12
     after reviewing the rules, the question arose
13
     under -- when we look at Rule 8.2, time limits,
14
     which keys time limits on the date of arraignment,
15
     and Rule 14.2, waiving the presence of a defendant
16
     in arraignment, I guess the question arises as to
17
     what will be the -- what will the date be for the
18
     beginning of running of various time limits.
          THE COURT: I think we usually look at the
19
20
     filing of the 12.10. I thought that's normally how
21
     people look at that.
```

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, this is Bill Hughes.

Rule 1.3(b) makes it very specific. It does state

defendant receives notice, the next court date
Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

if an arraignment is not held, the date the

```
28
     STATE OF ARIZONA
                                  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
     COUNTY OF YAVAPAI
                I, Mina G Hunt, do hereby certify that I
     am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
 5
     and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California.
 7
               I further certify that these proceedings
     were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
 8
    herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
 9
     typewritten form, and that the foregoing
11
    constitutes a true and correct transcript.
               I further certify that I am not related
12
    to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
13
     parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
    interested in the result of the within action
15
               In witness whereof, I have affixed my
16
17
    signature this 13th day of February, 2012
18
19
20
21
22
23
                MINA G. HUNT, AZ CR No. 50619
CA CSR No. 8335
24
25
               Mina G. Hunt
                                 (928) 554-8522
```

22

23

24

25

25

1

2

1	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2) ss: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI)
3	
4	I, Mina G. Hunt, do hereby certify that I
5	am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
6	and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California.
7	I further certify that these proceedings
8	were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
9	herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
10	typewritten form, and that the foregoing
11	constitutes a true and correct transcript.
12	I further certify that I am not related
13	to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
14	parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
15	interested in the result of the within action.
16	In witness whereof, I have affixed my
17	signature this 13th day of February, 2012.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	MINA G. HUNT, AZ CR NO. 50619
24	CA CSR No. 8335
25	